

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/573,108	Applicant(s) ASHTON ET AL.	
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

 (2) Philippe Durette.
**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 March 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

3, 5, 8, 10, 11 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Durette to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following amendments were made: 1)claims 3, 15 and 16, add a period at the end of each claim and 2)claims 5, 8, 10 and 11, delete reference to claim 1. It was agreed that claims 3, 5, 8, 10, 11 and 16 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..